arXiv:math/0008183v1 [math.QA] 23 Aug 2000

COVARIANT FIRST ORDER DIFFERENTIAL CALCULUS ON QUANTUM EUCLIDEAN SPHERES

MARTIN WELK

Abstract. We study covariant differential calculus on the quantum spheres S_q^{N-1} which are quantum homogeneous spaces with coactions of the quantum groups $\mathrm{O}_q(N)$.

The first part of the paper is devoted to first order differential calculus. A classification result is proved which says that for $N \geq 6$ there exist exactly two covariant first order differential calculi on S_q^{N-1} which satisfy the classification constraint that the bimodule of one-forms is generated as a free left module by the differentials of the generators of S_q^{N-1}. Although the proof given here guarantees completeness of the classification only for $N \geq 6$, the calculi themselves exist for any $N \geq 3$. Both calculi can also be constructed by a method introduced by Hermisson. The number of dimensions for both calculi is by 1 higher than that of the classical commutative calculus; in the limit $q = 1$, one of them can be factorised in such a way that the commutative calculus is obtained. In the deformed case, no calculi exist which have the same number of dimensions and structure of corepresentations as the classical calculus. In case $N = 3$, the result is in accordance with the result obtained by Apel and Schmüdgen for the Podleś sphere.

In the second part, higher order differential calculus and symmetry are treated on the basis of one of the first order calculi. The relations which hold for two-forms in the universal higher order calculus extending the underlying first order calculus are given. A "braiding" homomorphism is found that can be used to define a higher order differential calculus via antisymmetrisation. The existence of an upper bound for the order of differential forms is discussed for different choices of higher order calculi.

1. Introduction

For a number of years, quantum groups as examples of noncommutative geometric spaces with a rich additional algebraic structure have received a high interest, and their investigation has made great progress during the 1990s. As an important prerequisite for an understanding of their geometrical structure, covariant differential calculus on quantum groups has been studied to a high level. Starting from Woronowicz's initiating work [19], construction and classification of covariant first order differential calculi, and investigation of their properties, were the topic of many papers, e.g. [7], [11], [12]. Higher order differential calculus was the object of further work, like [13], and basic concept of noncommutative differential geometry were studied, e.g. [2], [5].

In the last few years, investigations concerning covariant differential calculus on quantum homogeneous spaces—which are the class of noncommutative spaces next to quantum groups as judged by the mathematical structure—are increasing; nevertheless, much work has still to be done until a comparably deep understanding may be reached as for quantum groups. A general theory even for first order covariant differential calculus is still lacking. The number of non-trivial examples with well-understood differential structure is still small. Methods to construct first order differential calculi on certain classes of quantum homogeneous spaces are described in [10], [6]. A classifications of covariant first order differential calculi was given for Podleś' quantum spheres in [1]. Earlier work of the author resulted in similar classification results for the quantum spheres introduced by Vaksman and Soibelman [15], [16] and for a class of quantum projective spaces [17], [18]. The method enabling all of these classifications which will be used also in this paper is based on screening morphisms of corepresentations of the underlying quantum group, namely $\mathrm{SU}_q(N)$ for all of the before-mentioned spaces.

The quantum Euclidean spheres S_q^{N-1} which are the subject of this paper are quantum homogeneous spaces for the quantum groups $\mathrm{O}_q(N)$. As compared to the $\mathrm{SU}_q(N)$-based Vaksman-Soibelman spheres $\tilde{\mathrm{S}}_q^{2N-1}$, this is supposed to be in some sense the more natural choice in deforming spheres to quantum spheres but the calculations become much more involved than in the case of the Vaksman-Soibelman spheres. Nevertheless, it turns out that in contrast to the great variety of different covariant first order differential calculi which were found in [15] for $\tilde{\mathrm{S}}_q^{2N-1}$, the classification theorem 1 for the quantum Euclidean spheres which is the first main result of this paper lists only two calculi under an appropriate classification constraint. The outstanding properties of these calculi make the quantum Euclidean spheres a promising object for further study.

2. THE QUANTUM EUCLIDEAN SPHERES $\mathbf{S}_q^{N-1}$

2.1. **Definitions for quantum spaces.** Here and in the following our definitions are in accordance with [8], [1], [9]. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a coquasitriangular Hopf algebra (This is what we shall understand by a quantum group throughout the following.) Let $\mathcal{A}$ have the comultiplication $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}$ and the counit $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}}$. A pair (X, Δ_{R}) consisting of an algebra X and an algebra homomorphism $\Delta_{\mathrm{R}} : X \to X \otimes \mathcal{A}$ is called a *(right) quantum space for* $\mathcal{A}$ if the following equalities hold: $(\Delta_{\mathrm{R}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}})\Delta_{\mathrm{R}} = (\mathrm{id}_X \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{A}})\Delta_{\mathrm{R}}$; $(\mathrm{id}_X \otimes \varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}})\Delta_{\mathrm{R}} = \mathrm{id}_X$. Then, Δ_{R} is called *(right) coaction* of $\mathcal{A}$ on X. For brevity, we shall also denote the quantum space itself by X. A quantum space (X, Δ_{R}) (or, briefly, X) for $\mathcal{A}$ is called *quantum homogeneous space* if there exists an embedding $\iota_X : X \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $\Delta_{\mathrm{R}} = \Delta_{\mathcal{A}} \circ \iota_X$, i.e. X can be identified with a sub-algebra of $\mathcal{A}$, and its coaction is obtained then by restricting the comultiplication.

2.2. **The quantum Euclidean spheres.** Throughout the following, the deformation parameter q is a positive real number different from 1 unless stated otherwise.[1] Moreover, the dimension parameter N will always be a natural number, $N \geq 3$. We shall always sum over pairs of upper and lower indices from 1 to N.

Define X to be the algebra with N generators $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N$, and relations (cf. [9])

$$\hat{R}^{-}{}^{kl}_{ij} x_k x_l = q^{-1} x_i x_j + \frac{q^{N-1} - q^{N-3}}{1 + q^{N-2}} K^{kl}_{ij} x_k x_l, \tag{1}$$

$$C^{kl} x_k x_l = 1, \tag{2}$$

where $\hat{R}^{-} = \hat{R} - (q - q^{-1}) I + (q - q^{-1}) K$ is the inverse of the R-matrix of the quantum group $\mathrm{O}_q(N)$,

$$\hat{R}^{kl}_{ij} = q^{(k=l)-(k=l')} (i = l)(j = k) + (q - q^{-1})(i < l)((i = k)(j = l) - K^{kl}_{ij}),$$

and

$$K^{kl}_{ij} = C^{ij} C_{kl}; \qquad\qquad C^{ij} = C_{ij} = q^{-\varrho_i} (i = j').$$

Here, $(i = j)$ and $(i < j)$ denote Kronecker and Heaviside symbols, resp.; by an apostrophe we denote the mapping $' : i \mapsto i' = N + 1 - i$. The constants ϱ_i are given by $\varrho_i = \frac{N}{2} - i$ for $i < \frac{N+1}{2}$, 0 for $i = \frac{N+1}{2}$, $\frac{N}{2} - i + 1$ for $i > \frac{N+1}{2}$.

A $*$-structure on S_q^{N-1} is given by

$$x_i^* = C^{ij} x_j.$$

By $x_i \mapsto (1 + q^{N-2})^{-1/2} \big(u^1_i + q^{N/2-1} u^N_i \big)$, cf. [8], [9], X (as a $*$-algebra) is embedded into $\mathrm{O}_q(N)$; the coaction

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{R}} : X \to X \otimes \mathrm{O}_q(N), \qquad x_k \mapsto x_i \otimes u^i_k$$

obtained by restricting the comultiplication Δ of $\mathrm{O}_q(N)$ then makes X into a quantum homogeneous space for $\mathrm{O}_q(N)$ which we shall call *Euclidean quantum sphere* and denote by S_q^{N-1}.

3. FIRST ORDER DIFFERENTIAL CALCULUS ON QUANTUM EUCLIDEAN SPHERES

3.1. **Basic definitions.** Let X be an algebra. A *first order differential calculus* on X is a pair (Γ, d) of an X-bimodule Γ and a linear mapping $\mathrm{d} : X \to \Gamma$ which fulfils the Leibniz rule, $\mathrm{d}(xy) = \mathrm{d}x \cdot y + x \cdot y$ for all $x, y \in X$, such that $\mathrm{d}X$ spans Γ as a left module, i.e. $\mathrm{Lin}\{x \mathrm{d}y \mid x, y \in X\}$. The elements of Γ are called one-forms, while d is called differentiation map.

If (X, Δ_{R}) is a quantum space for a quantum group $\mathcal{A}$, a first order differential calculus (Γ, d) over X is *covariant* if the well-defined linear mapping $\Phi_{\mathrm{R}} : \Gamma \to \Gamma \otimes \mathcal{A}$ given by $\Phi_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{d}x) = (\mathrm{d} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}})(\Delta_{\mathrm{R}}(x))$ and

---

[1] For most considerations, q could even be arbitrary complex, except 0 and roots of unity; the restriction to real numbers is necessary only where $*$-structures are involved.

$\Phi_{\mathrm{R}}(x\omega y)=\Delta_{\mathrm{R}}(x)\Phi_{\mathrm{R}}(\omega)\Delta_{\mathrm{R}}(y)$ for all $x,y\in X$, $\omega\in\Gamma$, and Φ_{R} satisfies the identities $(\Phi_{\mathrm{R}}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi_{\mathrm{R}}=(\mathrm{id}_\Gamma\otimes\Delta)\Phi_{\mathrm{R}}$, $(\mathrm{id}_\Gamma\otimes\varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi_{\mathrm{R}}=\mathrm{id}_\Gamma$.

An important role in the investigation of covariant differential calculi over quantum spaces is played by (right-) invariant one-forms, i.e. those $\omega\in\Gamma$ for which $\Phi_{\mathrm{R}}(\omega)=\omega\otimes\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is fulfilled.

If for a covariant first order differential calculus Γ over X an invariant one-form ω_0 exist such that $\mathrm{d}x=\omega_0x-x\omega_0$ holds for all $x\in X$, the calculus Γ is called *inner*.

Finally, if (Γ,d) is a first order differential calculus over a $*$-algebra X then (Γ,d) is called a *$*$-calculus* if for any sum $\sum_k x_k\mathrm{d}y_k$ where $x_k,y_k\in X$ which equals zero in Γ even $\sum_k\mathrm{d}(y_k^*)x_k^*$ vanishes. In this case it makes sense to define $*:\Gamma\to\Gamma$ via $(\mathrm{d}x)^*:=\mathrm{d}(x^*)$.

3.2. **Classification theorem.** We shall consider *free* covariant first order differential calculi on S_q^{N-1}, i.e. calculi (Γ,d) fulfilling the constraint that the differentials $\mathrm{d}x_i$, $i=1,\ldots,N$, of the generators of S_q^{N-1} generate the bimodule of one-forms *as a free left module.* Our main result is the following classification theorem for these calculi.

Theorem 1. *For $N\geq 3$, there exist two free covariant differential calculi Γ_+, Γ_- on S_q^{N-1}. If $N\geq 6$, any free covariant differential calculus on S_q^{N-1} is either Γ_+ or Γ_-.*

The bimodule structure of $\Gamma_\pm$ is given by

$$\mathrm{d}x_i\cdot x_j=\pm\hat{R}^{-}{}^{kl}_{ij}x_k\mathrm{d}x_l+(\pm q-1)x_i\mathrm{d}x_j+\frac{q^N-q^{N-2}}{1\mp q^{N-1}}K^{kl}_{ij}x_k\mathrm{d}x_l+\frac{(1\mp q)(1+q^{N-2})}{1\mp q^{N-1}}C^{kl}x_ix_jx_k\mathrm{d}x_l,\qquad(3)$$

where the upper signs are valid for Γ_+ and the lower ones for Γ_-.

The proof of the classification theorem will be given in section 3.5.

We stress that both differential calculi described in the theorem exist for any $N\geq 3$; it's the uniqueness statement that has to be restricted to $N\geq 6$ for technical reasons that become clear in section 3.5.

In the case $N=3$, the quantum Euclidean sphere S_q^{N-1} is isomorphic to one of Podleś' quantum spheres S_{qc}, namely that with parameter $c=0$. In [1] where covariant first order differential calculi for the Podleś spheres were classified under a more general classification constraint, it was shown that on S_{q0} there exist exactly two free covariant first order differential calculi[2], which is in accordance with our result. Besides, it follows that our uniqueness statement is in fact true even in the case $N=3$ which is not covered by our proof.

---

[2] Note that $c=0$ is an exceptional case—for most values of c, exactly one such calculus exists.

We continue by discussing some properties of the differential calculi. First, we note that the bimodule structure can also be given in a form which allows to transform any given one-form into a *right*-module expression.

Corollary 2. *The bimodule structure of* Γ_+ *and* Γ_- *is described by*

$$\begin{aligned} x_i \mathrm{d}x_j = {} & \pm \hat{R}^{-kl}_{ij} \mathrm{d}x_k \cdot x_l + (\pm q - 1) \mathrm{d}x_i \cdot x_j \\ & + \frac{q^N - q^{N-2}}{1 \mp q^{N-1}} K^{kl}_{ij} \mathrm{d}x_k \cdot x_l + \frac{(1 \mp q)(1 + q^{N-2})}{1 \mp q^{N-1}} C^{kl} \mathrm{d}x_k \cdot x_l x_i x_j . \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Proof: The equivalence of (3) and (4) is checked by direct calculation. □

An important observation is that in both calculi there exists a 1-dimensional vector space of invariant one-forms, namely the multiples of

$$\Omega := C^{kl} x_k \mathrm{d}x_l .$$

One obtains from the bimodule structure given in the theorem that

$$\Omega x_i = x_i \Omega \pm \frac{q^{-1}(1 \mp q)(1 \mp q^{N-1})}{1 + q^{N-2}} \mathrm{d}x_i ,$$

where again the upper and lower signs refer to Γ_+ and Γ_-, resp. With

$$\Omega' := \pm q \frac{1 + q^{N-2}}{(1 \mp q)(1 \mp q^{N-1})} \Omega ,$$

one therefore has $\mathrm{d}x = \Omega' x - x \Omega'$ for all $x \in \mathrm{S}_q^{N-1}$, which implies the following corollary.

Corollary 3. Γ_+ *and* Γ_- *are inner calculi.*

Finally, the question is to be answered whether our differential calculi are compatible with the $*$-structure of S_q^{N-1}.

Corollary 4. Γ_+ *and* Γ_- *are* $*$*-calculi.*

Proof: It is sufficient to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} x_j^* \mathrm{d}x_i^* = {} & \pm \hat{R}^{-kl}_{ij} \mathrm{d}x_l^* \cdot x_k^* + (\pm q - 1) \mathrm{d}x_j^* \cdot x_i^* \\ & + \frac{q^N - q^{N-2}}{1 \mp q^{N-1}} K^{kl}_{ij} \mathrm{d}x_l^* \cdot x_k^* + \frac{(1 \mp q)(1 + q^{N-2})}{1 \mp q^{N-1}} C^{kl} \mathrm{d}x_l^* \cdot x_k^* x_j^* x_i^* . \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Using the defining relations of S_q^{N-1} together with the definition of C^{ij} and the Leibniz rule, one checks that

$$C^{kl} \mathrm{d}x_l^* \cdot x_k^* = C^{kl} C^{ks} C^{lt} \mathrm{d}x_t \cdot x_s = C^{ts} \mathrm{d}x_t \cdot x_s$$

Moreover, one has $\hat{R}^{-kl}_{ij} C^{ks} C^{lt} = C^{ik} C^{jl} \hat{R}^{-ts}_{lk}$ such that (5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} C^{jt} C^{is} x_t \mathrm{d}x_s = C^{jt} C^{is} \Bigg(& \pm \hat{R}^{-uv}_{ts} \mathrm{d}x_u \cdot x_v + (\pm q - 1) \mathrm{d}x_t \cdot x_s \\ & + \frac{q^N - q^{N-2}}{1 \mp q^{N-1}} K^{uv}_{ts} \mathrm{d}x_u \cdot x_v + \frac{(1 \mp q)(1 + q^{N-2})}{1 \mp q^{N-1}} C^{uv} \mathrm{d}x_u \cdot x_v x_t x_s \Bigg) , \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (4). $\square$

3.3. **The classical limit.** Note that the 1-dimensional space of invariant one-forms is still present in the "classical limit", i.e. the limit $q = 1$, of both calculi while no invariant one-forms exist in the classical commutative differential calculus. Indeed, the bimodule structure of Γ_+ in the limit $q = 1$ takes the form

$$\mathrm{d}x_i \cdot x_j = x_j \mathrm{d}x_i - \frac{2}{N-1}(i = j')\Omega + \frac{2}{N-1} x_i x_j \Omega$$

while that of Γ_- becomes

$$\mathrm{d}x_i \cdot x_j = -x_j \mathrm{d}x_i - 2x_i \mathrm{d}x_j + 2x_i x_j \Omega,$$

both being noncommutative.

However, there is an important asymmetry between Γ_+ and Γ_- indicating that Γ_+ stands in a closer relation to the classical commutative calculus than Γ_-. Namely, for Γ_+ in the classical limit one has $\Omega x = x\Omega$ for all $x \in \mathrm{S}_q^{N-1}$, such that Γ_+ loses in the limit the property of being an inner calculus; it can be factorised by the additional relation $\Omega = 0$. The resulting differential calculus is obviously the classical commutative one, $\mathrm{d}x_i \cdot x_j = x_j \mathrm{d}x_i$. Such a factorisation is not possible for Γ_- in the limit $q = 1$ since we have for Γ_- with $q = 1$ the equality $\Omega x = x\Omega - 2\mathrm{d}x$ for all $x \in \mathrm{S}_q^{N-1}$.[3]

In case $N \geq 6$, Γ_+ is in some sense the best one can get as an approximation of the classical commutative differential calculus on S_q^{N-1} in the deformed case since there is no covariant first order differential calculus whose bimodule of one-forms decomposes in the same way into invariant subspaces for the coaction of $\mathrm{O}_q(N)$ as in the classical case. Namely, this would require (opposite to the case of a free calculus) that Ω vanishes.

Corollary 5. *Let* $q \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$, *and* $N \geq 6$. *Then there exists no covariant first order differential calculus* (Γ, d) *on* S_q^{N-1} *with* Γ *generated as a left module by* $\mathrm{d}x_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$, *for which all left-module relations within* Γ *are generated by the relation* $C^{ij} x_i \mathrm{d}x_j = 0$.

The proof of this corollary will be given along with that of the classification theorem in 3.5.

3.4. **A new basis for one-forms.** From equations (3) it is obvious that the transformation of one-forms into their left-module expressions is non-linear in $\Gamma_\pm$. For example, in transforming $\mathrm{d}x_i \cdot x_j$ to its left-module expression, besides members of the type $x_k \mathrm{d}x_l$ also expressions $x_k x_l x_m \mathrm{d}x_n$, with algebra elements of third degree, are obtained. Of course, this behaviour of the transformations is a great obstacle in doing more advanced calculations. Therefore, the question rises whether the equations describing the bimodule structure of the free covariant first order differential calculi on S_q^{N-1} could be simplified to a purely linear structure like $\gamma_i x_j = A^{kl}_{ij} x_k \gamma_l$ by choosing a different basis $\{\gamma_i\}$ for the bimodule of one-forms.

---

[3] Instead, Γ_- admits factorisation over the relation $\Omega = 0$ in the case $q = -1$.

For reasons of covariance, it is sufficient to coonsider sets of one-forms of type $\gamma_i = \beta \mathrm{d}x_i + \alpha x_i \Omega$, with α, β independent on i. Since $\Gamma_\pm$ are inner calculi, β can't vanish such that we can restrict ourselves to the ansatz $\gamma_i = \mathrm{d}x_i + \alpha x_i \Omega$.

Proposition 6. *Let* (Γ, d) *be one of the differential calculi* (Γ_+, d) *and* (Γ_-, d). *Then there are exactly two real numbers* α, *for which the set of one-forms* $\{\gamma_i := \mathrm{d}x_i + \alpha x_i \Omega \mid i = 1, \ldots, N\}$ *forms a basis of* Γ *such that the bimodule structure of* Γ *is described w.r.t. the basis* $\{\gamma_i\}$ *by*

$$\gamma_i x_j = A^{kl}_{ij} x_k \gamma_l$$

with appropriate real coefficients A^{kl}_{ij}.

These values are

$$\alpha^+ = -\frac{1+q^{N-2}}{1 \mp q^{N-1}}, \qquad \alpha^- = \pm q \frac{1+q^{N-2}}{1 \mp q^{N-1}}$$

where the upper signs are valid for $\Gamma = \Gamma_+$ *while the lower ones refer to* $\Gamma = \Gamma_-$. *W.r.t. the two bases* $\gamma^+_i := \mathrm{d}x_i + \alpha^+ x_i \Omega$, $\gamma^-_i := \mathrm{d}x_i + \alpha^- x_i \Omega$ *the bimodule structure of* Γ *can be written as*

$$\gamma^+_i x_j = \hat{R}^{kl}_{ij} x_k \gamma^+_l, \qquad \gamma^-_i x_j = \hat{R}^{-}{}^{kl}_{ij} x_k \gamma^-_l. \tag{6}$$

Proof: Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_+$, and $\gamma_i := \mathrm{d}x_i + \alpha x_i \Omega$, with arbitrary $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Because of

$$C^{ij} x_i \gamma_j = C^{ij}(x_i \mathrm{d}x_j + \alpha C^{kl} x_i x_j x_k \mathrm{d}x_l) = (\alpha + 1) C^{ij} x_i \mathrm{d}x_j$$

the inverse substitution is given by $\mathrm{d}x_i = \gamma_i - (\alpha/(\alpha+1)) x_i C^{kl} x_k \gamma_l$. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_i x_j &= \mathrm{d}x_i \cdot x_j + \alpha x_i \Omega x_j \\
&= \hat{R}^{-}{}^{kl}_{ij} x_k \mathrm{d}x_l + (q-1)\left(1 - q^{-1}\alpha \frac{1-q^{N-1}}{1+q^{N-2}}\right) x_i \mathrm{d}x_j \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{(1-q)(1+q^{N-2})}{1-q^{N-1}} + \alpha\right) x_i x_j \Omega \frac{q^{N-2}(q^2-1)}{1-q^{N-1}} C_{ij} \Omega \\
&= \hat{R}^{-}{}^{kl}_{ij} x_k \gamma_l + (q-1)\left(1 - q^{-1}\alpha \frac{1-q^{N-1}}{1+q^{N-2}}\right) x_i \gamma_j \\
&\quad + \frac{q^{N-2}(q^2-1)}{\alpha+1}\left(\frac{1}{1-q^{N-1}} - \frac{q^{-1}\alpha}{1+q^{N-2}}\right) K^{kl}_{ij} x_k \gamma_l \\
&\quad + \frac{q-1}{\alpha+1}\left(q^{-1}\alpha^2 \frac{1-q^{N-1}}{1+q^{N-2}} - q^{-1}\alpha(q-1) + \frac{1+q^{N-2}}{1-q^{N-1}}\right) C^{kl} x_i x_j x_k \gamma_l.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The bimodule structure w.r.t. the new basis is therefore linear if and only if the last coefficient is zero, i.e.

$$0 = \alpha^2 - \frac{(q-1)(1+q^{N-2})}{1-q^{N-1}} - q\frac{(1+q^{N-2})^2}{(1-q^{N-1})^2}$$

$$= \left(\alpha + \frac{1+q^{N-2}}{1-q^{N-1}}\right)\left(\alpha - q\frac{1+q^{N-2}}{1-q^{N-1}}\right).$$

The two solutions for α as stated in the Proposition are now obvious; by inserting them into equation (7) one verifies (6). This completes the proof for $\Gamma = \Gamma_+$; the case $\Gamma = \Gamma_-$ is treated in the same way. ☐

Since we have to buy the particularly simple form of the bimodule structure in the $\gamma^\pm$ bases with more complicated equations describing the differentiation map d

$$\mathrm{d}x_i = \gamma_i^- \mp q\frac{1+q^{N-2}}{1\pm q}x_iC^{kl}x_k\gamma_l^- = \gamma_i^+ + q^{-N+2}\frac{1+q^{N-2}}{1\pm q}x_iC^{kl}x_k\gamma_l^+,$$

it is wise to keep in mind both types of basis for Γ to use each one when appropriate, depending on the kind of calculations to be done.

The $*$-structure still takes a simple form in the new bases.

Corollary 7. *Let* $\Gamma \in \{\Gamma_+, \Gamma_-\}$. *Then* $(\gamma_i^+)^* = q^{-1}C^{ij}\gamma_j^-$; $(\gamma_i^-)^* = qC^{ij}\gamma_j^+$.

Proof: We prove the first equality for $\Gamma = \Gamma_+$.

$$(\gamma_i^+)^* = \mathrm{d}x_i^* - \frac{1+q^{N-2}}{1-q^{N-1}}\Omega^* x_i^* = C^{ij}\left(\mathrm{d}x_j + \frac{1+q^{N-2}}{1-q^{N-1}}\Omega x_j\right)$$
$$= C^{ij}\left(\mathrm{d}x_j + \frac{1+q^{N-2}}{1-q^{N-1}}x_j\Omega + (q^{-1}-1)\mathrm{d}x_j\right) = q^{-1}C^{ij}\gamma_j^-.$$

The proofs of the remaining statements are analogous. ☐

3.5. **Proof of the classification statements.** The most important tool which will be employed in our proofs of the classification theorem 1 and Corollary 5 is to analyse morphisms of corepresentations of $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{O}_q(n)$ (co-) acting on the algebra $X = \mathrm{S}_q^{N-1}$ and its tensor products. In this way, covariance and the algebraic constraints are exploited to find the general structure, with unknown coefficients, for the bimodule structure of the desired differential calculi; then the generating relations of the algebra and the definitions for differential calculi are evaluated to determine the coefficients.

The same principle underlies the proofs given by Apel and Schmüdgen in [1] (for Podleś' quantum spheres) and by the author in [15] and [17] (for the Vaksman-Soibelman quantum spheres and quantum projective spaces, resp.).

First we observe that since q is not a root of unity, the corepresentation theory for $\mathrm{O}_q(N)$ is quite similar to that of the undeformed matrix group [4] for which the formalism of Young frames can be used [3].

Denote by $V(k)$ the vector space of those polynomials of degree k in the generators $x_1, \dots, x_N$ of X, and by $V_\mathrm{r}(k)$ the vector subspace of all those degree k polynomials which by virtue of the defining relations (1) and (2) of S_q^{N-1} are equal to polynomials of lower degree. Let $W(k)$ be the vector space complement of $V_\mathrm{r}(k)$

in $V(k)$. The coaction Δ_{R} induces corepresentations $\pi(k) : W(k) \to W(k) \otimes \mathcal{A}$. In particular, $\pi(0)$ is the trivial corepresentation $1_X \mapsto 1_X \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}}$, denoted also by $\mathbf{(0)}$, and $\pi(1)$ is the fundamental corepresentation, $x_i \mapsto x_j \otimes u^j_i$, which corresponds to the Young frame $\yng(1)$.

We can now use the Young frame formalism for the orthogonal quantum group $\mathrm{O}_q(N)$ to calculate the higher corepresentations $\pi(k)$ and the tensor products $\pi(k) \otimes \pi(1)$ successively. Note that $\pi(k+1)$ is obtained by cancelling those summands of $\pi(k) \otimes \pi(1)$ which are annihilated by the commutation relation (1) or reduced to lower degree by the inhomogeneous relation (2).

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\pi(0) \otimes \pi(1) = \yng(1)\,; && \\
&\pi(1) \otimes \pi(1) = \yng(2) + \yng(1,1) + \mathbf{(0)}; && \pi(2) = \yng(2)\,; \\
&\pi(2) \otimes \pi(1) = \yng(3) + \yng(2,1) + \yng(1)\,; && \pi(3) = \yng(3)\,; \\
&\pi(3) \otimes \pi(1) = \yng(4) + \yng(3,1) + \yng(2)\,; && \pi(4) = \yng(4)\,; \\
&\pi(4) \otimes \pi(1) = \yng(5) + \yng(4,1) + \yng(3)\,; && \pi(5) = \yng(5)\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

These equalities give the correct decompositions of $\pi(k)$ and $\pi(k) \otimes \pi(1)$ into irreducible corepresentations for $N \geq 6$; for smaller N some of the summands do not exist, or decompose. This is the reason why we can guarantee completeness of our classification only under this assumption. For $k \geq 5$, the corepresentations of both $\pi(k)$ and $\pi(k) \otimes \pi(1)$ contain only Young frames with 4 and more columns.

In the language of corepresentations, the classification constraint of Theorem 1 says precisely that Γ is generated by $\mathrm{d}V(1)$ as a left module. As a vector space with a corepresentation of $\mathrm{O}_q(N)$, $\mathrm{d}V(1)$ is the same as $V(1)$; therefore Γ is the sum of invariant vector spaces for all (irreducible components of) corepresentations $\pi(k) \otimes \pi(1)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$; for the same reason, the vector subspace $\mathrm{d}V(1) \cdot V(1)$ of all $\mathrm{d}x_i \cdot x_j$ decomposes into the invariant vector spaces for the corepresentation $\pi(1) \otimes \pi(1)$.

The bimodule structure is described by a transformation that sends one-forms from $\mathrm{d}V(1) \cdot V(1)$ to left-module expressions in $X\mathrm{d}V(1)$. The covariance condition requires that this transformation must transform each invariant subspace for an irreducible corepresentation of the quantum group to an isomorphic subspace. Thus, the transformation is necessarily a linear combination of all morphisms from irreducible invariant subspaces in $\mathrm{d}V(1) \cdot V(1) \cong V(1) \otimes V(1)$ to isomorphic invariant subspaces in $X\mathrm{d}V(1) \cong X \otimes V(1)$.

Searching the sums of Young frames representing $\pi(k) \otimes \pi(1)$ for frames which are also contained in $\pi(1) \otimes \pi(1)$, indicating isomorphic invariant subspaces, we find that $\yng(2)$ occurs in $\pi(1) \otimes \pi(1)$ and in $\pi(3) \otimes \pi(1)$ while $\yng(1,1)$ and $\mathbf{(0)}$ occur only in $\pi(1) \otimes \pi(1)$ itself. Therefore one has four relevant morphisms and gets the ansatz

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}x_i \cdot x_j = {}& \alpha_1 (P_+)^{kl}_{ij} x_k \mathrm{d}x_l + \alpha_2 (P_-)^{kl}_{ij} x_k \mathrm{d}x_l \\
& + \alpha_3 (P_0)^{kl}_{ij} x_k \mathrm{d}x_l + \alpha_4 (P_+)^{st}_{ij} C^{kl} x_s x_t x_k \mathrm{d}x_l
\end{aligned}
$$

for the bimodule structure of any free covariant first order differential calculus on S_q^{N-1}. Here, P_+ is the projector onto the subspace corresponding to $\square\!\square$, P_- the projector onto the subspace corresponding to $\begin{smallmatrix}\square\\\square\end{smallmatrix}$, and P_0 the projector onto the subspace for $\mathbf{(0)}$. Since the projectors are less convenient for later calculation, we use the fact that $\hat{R}^-$, the identity I, and K are three independent linear combinations of P_+, P_- and P_0, spanning therefore the same vector space of transformations, and that the multiplication $x_s x_t$ in the last summand in fact does itself the job of P_+ because it involves a symmetrisation via relations (1) and (2). We can therefore rewrite the ansatz as

$$\mathrm{d}x_i \cdot x_j = a_1 \hat{R}^{-kl}_{ij} x_k \mathrm{d}x_l + a_2 x_i \mathrm{d}x_j + a_3 K^{kl}_{ij} x_k \mathrm{d}x_l + a_4 C^{kl} x_i x_j x_k \mathrm{d}x_l \tag{8}$$

with unknown coefficients a_1, a_2, a_3 and a_4.

The changed constraint in Corollary 5 requiring the calculus to contain the left-module relations generated by $\Omega = 0$ means that the subspace for $\mathbf{(0)}$ is cancelled from $\pi(1) \otimes \pi(1)$ and that for $\square\!\square$ from $\pi(3) \otimes \pi(1)$ in the decomposition of $X\mathrm{d}V(1)$. This reduces the number of possible morphisms to 2, and with essentially the same arguments as before the ansatz

$$\mathrm{d}x_i \cdot x_j = a_1 \hat{R}^{-kl}_{ij} x_k \mathrm{d}x_l + a_2 x_i \mathrm{d}x_j \tag{9}$$

is obtained.

We return now to equation (8). To determine the coefficients we use first the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
C^{ij}(\mathrm{d}x_i \cdot x_j + x_i \mathrm{d}x_j) &= 0, && (10)\\
\hat{R}^{-kl}_{ij}(\mathrm{d}x_k \cdot x_l + x_k \mathrm{d}x_l) - q(\mathrm{d}x_i \cdot x_j + x_i \mathrm{d}x_j) &= 0, && (11)\\
C^{kl} \mathrm{d}x_i \cdot x_k x_l &= \mathrm{d}x_i, && (12)\\
\hat{R}^{st}_{kl} \mathrm{d}x_i \cdot x_s x_t - q \mathrm{d}x_i \cdot x_k x_l + \frac{q - q^{-1}}{1 + q^{N-2}} C_{kl} \mathrm{d}x_i &= 0, && (13)
\end{aligned}$$

the first two of which arise by differentiating the defining relations of S_q^{N-1} while the last two ones result from the bimodule requirement and the defining relations of S_q^{N-1}. Since covariance and freeness are guaranteed by the construction leading to (8), these equations which completely encode the compatibility of bimodule structure with differentiation are everything which remains to be satisfied.

Each of the equations (10)–(13) is evaluated by transforming it via (8) to left-module form[4] and comparing coefficients for elements of $X\mathrm{d}V(1)$.[5]

From (10) and (11) we derive

$$a_2 = q a_1 - 1; \qquad a_4 = -1 - q^{N-1} a_1 - a_2 - \frac{q^2(1 + q^{N-2})(1 - q^{-N})}{q^2 - 1} a_3$$

---

[4] Part of these calculations has been carried out with the aid of a computer algebraic program.

[5] The coefficient comparisons involved are admissible only if there are enough algebraically independent elements in $X\mathrm{d}V(1)$ but this requirement is met for $N \geq 6$.

and eliminate a_2 and a_4. After doing so, we obtain from (12) and (13) among others the conditions

$$a_1{}^2 - 1 = 0;$$

$$-1 - a_3 - q^{N-3}\frac{q^2-1}{1+q^{N-2}}a_1 + q^{-1}\frac{1+q^N}{1+q^{N-2}}a_1a_3 + \frac{1+q^N}{1+q^{N-2}}a_1{}^2 = 0.$$

By computing for each of the two possible values for a_1 the other coefficients, we find that two sets of coefficients are still possible, namely

$$a_1 = 1, \qquad a_2 = q-1, \qquad a_3 = q^{N-2}\frac{q^2-1}{1-q^{N-1}}, \quad a_4 = \frac{(1-q)(1+q^{N-2})}{1-q^{N-1}};$$

$$a_1 = -1, \quad a_2 = -q-1, \quad a_3 = q^{N-2}\frac{q^2-1}{1-q^{N-1}}, \quad a_4 = \frac{(1+q)(1+q^{N-2})}{1+q^{N-1}}.$$

Inserting these into (8) yields equation (3) from the theorem for the Γ_+ and Γ_- cases, resp. To finish the proof of the theorem, one checks that (10)–(13) are satisfied by both sets of coefficients. For this last step, the assumption $N \geq 6$ is not needed.

To prove Corollary 5, conditions (11)–(13) have to be exploited in the same manner but with the simpler assumption (9). Equation (10) is trivially satisfied in this case. The calculations are essentially the same as above but simpler. Equation (11) leads again to $a_2 = qa_1 - 1$; from (13) we get then, among other conditions,

$$a_1{}^2 = 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad a_1{}^2 - (q+q^{-1})a_1 + 1 = 0$$

which contradict each other except for $q = \pm 1$. This completes the proof.

4. HIGHER ORDER DIFFERENTIAL CALCULUS AND SYMMETRY

4.1. **Basic definitions.** If the geometric structure of quantum spaces is to be investigated, first order differential calculus is an insufficient tool since even simple concepts of differential geometry require at least second order differential forms to be formulated. That's why we shall turn our interest now to higher order differential calculus.

Given a covariant first order differential calculus (Γ, d) over a quantum homogeneous space X, consider a pair $(\Gamma^\wedge, \mathrm{d})$ consisting of a graded algebra $\Gamma^\wedge$ and a linear mapping $\mathrm{d} : \Gamma^\wedge \to \Gamma^\wedge$ such that the degree 0 and 1 components of $\Gamma^\wedge$ are isomorphic to X and Γ, resp. Let the multiplication of $\Gamma^\wedge$ be denoted by $\wedge$, with the convention that the $\wedge$ sign may be omitted if one of the factors involved is of degree zero (i.e. an element of X). Suppose further that the following statements hold for $(\Gamma^\wedge, \mathrm{d})$: The mapping d increases the degree by 1; d extends the differential of the first order calculus and fulfils the graded Leibniz rule, $\mathrm{d}(\vartheta_1 \wedge \vartheta_2) = \mathrm{d}\vartheta_1 \wedge \vartheta_2 + (-1)^d \vartheta_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}\vartheta_2$, for $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 \in \Gamma^\wedge$ where d is the degree of ϑ_1; and $\mathrm{dd} = 0$. Finally, assume that the covariance map Φ_R from the first order calculus can be extended to a map $\Phi_\mathrm{R}^\wedge : \Gamma^\wedge \to \Gamma^\wedge \otimes \mathcal{A}$ making $\Gamma^\wedge$ into a covariant X-bimodule. If all these properties are fulfilled, the pair $(\Gamma^\wedge, \mathrm{d})$ is called a *covariant higher order differential calculus over X which extends* (Γ, d).

First, one observes that for any first order differential calculus Γ over a quantum homogeneous space, there exists a universal higher order differential calculus $\Gamma_{\mathrm{u}}^\wedge$ extending Γ from which any other calculus with the above properties can be obtained by factorisation. Relations of this calculus can be successively computed started with those of the first order differential calculus and applying the definition of higher order differential calculus but in general the universal calculus is difficult to handle and displays properties which make not much sense for geometric investigations, such as unlimited order of differential forms on deformations of finite-dimensional manifolds. Therefore it is mostly of merely algebraic interest.

A second approach to higher order differential calculus is based on an antisymmetrisation procedure, cf. [19], [13]. For this, a "braiding" map $\sigma : \Gamma \otimes_X \Gamma \to \Gamma \otimes_X \Gamma$ is required which must be an algebra homomorphism satisfying the braid (or quantum Yang-Baxter) equation

$$(\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \sigma)(\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \sigma)(\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \sigma).$$

The algebra $\Gamma^\wedge$ is then obtained by factorising $\Gamma^\otimes$ over an ideal which is generated by the kernel of $(\mathrm{id}_{\Gamma\otimes\Gamma} - \sigma)$.

In the literature several versions for the construction of the ideal can be found. All of them agree in their second order component but differences occur in higher orders, leading possibly to different external algebras. For a detailed comparison in the case of the quantum group $\mathrm{SL}_q(N)$ see [13].

An advantage of the antisymmetrisation approach lies in the symmetry information encoded in σ which merits an interest of its own in further exploring of the differential structure.

4.2. Second order relations in the universal higher order differential calculus extending Γ_+.

For the remaining part of this article, we shall base our considerations on the first order differential calculus $\Gamma = \Gamma_+$ over $X = \mathrm{S}_q^{N-1}$.

The first order differential calculus determines a unique universal higher order differential calculus $\Gamma_{\mathrm{u}}^\wedge$ over S_q^{N-1} which extends Γ_+. In the following we want to give relations which have to hold in this higher order differential calculus. In particular, we want to give a full account of the relations of second order.

Since iterated differentiation is involved in the calculations, we prefer to use the $\mathrm{d}x_i$ basis for Γ.

Proposition 8. *All left-module relations in the module $\Gamma \wedge \Gamma$ of two-forms in* *the universal higher order differential calculus on* S_q^{N-1} *extending* Γ_+ *are generated by the set of relations*

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 = {}& \hat{R}^{-}{}^{kl}_{ij}\mathrm{d}x_k \wedge \mathrm{d}x_l + q\mathrm{d}x_i \wedge \mathrm{d}x_j - q\frac{(1-q)(1+q^{N-2})}{1-q^{N-1}}x_i\Omega \wedge \mathrm{d}x_j \\
& - \frac{(1-q)(1+q^{N-2})}{1-q^{N-1}}\hat{R}^{-}{}^{kl}_{ij}x_k\Omega \wedge \mathrm{d}x_l - \frac{(1+q^2)(1+q^{N-2})^2}{(1-q^{N-1})^2}x_ix_jC^{kl}x_k\Omega \wedge \mathrm{d}x_l \\
& + q^{N-2}\frac{(1+q)(1+q^2)(1+q^{N-2})}{(1-q^{N-1})^2}K^{kl}_{ij}x_k\Omega \wedge \mathrm{d}x_l, \qquad (14)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
0 = \mathrm{d}\Omega + 2q\frac{1+q^{N-2}}{(1-q)(1-q^{N-1})}C^{kl}x_k\Omega \wedge \mathrm{d}x_l. \qquad (15)
$$

PROOF: We start by differentiating equations (3) for Γ_+ using the graded Leibniz rule. By transforming all involved summands to left-module expressions, we obtain a relation which necessarily holds in $\Gamma_{\mathrm{u}}^{\wedge}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 = {}& \hat{R}^{-}{}^{kl}_{ij}\mathrm{d}x_k \wedge \mathrm{d}x_l + q\mathrm{d}x_i \wedge \mathrm{d}x_j - q^{N-1}\frac{(1-q)^2(1+q)(1+q^{N-2})}{(1-q^{N-1})^2}x_i\Omega \wedge \mathrm{d}x_j \\
& + q^2\frac{(1-q)(1+q^{N-2})}{1-q^{N-1}}x_ix_j\mathrm{d}\Omega + q\frac{(1-q)(1+q^{N-2})}{1-q^{N-1}}\hat{R}^{-}{}^{st}_{jk}C^{kl}x_ix_s\mathrm{d}x_t \wedge \mathrm{d}x_l \\
& - q^{N-2}\frac{(1-q)^2(1+q)(1+q^{N-2})}{(1-q^{N-1})^2}\hat{R}^{-}{}^{kl}_{ij}x_k\Omega \wedge \mathrm{d}x_l \\
& + \frac{(1-q)(1+q^{N-2})}{1-q^{N-1}}\hat{R}^{-}{}^{tu}_{kl}\hat{R}^{-}{}^{sk}_{ij}C^{lv}x_sx_t\mathrm{d}x_u \wedge \mathrm{d}x_v \\
& - q^{N-2}\frac{(1+q)(1-q)}{1-q^{N-1}}C_{ij}\mathrm{d}\Omega + \frac{(1-q)(1-q^3)(1+q^{N-2})^2}{q(1-q^{N-1})^2}C^{kl}x_ix_jx_k\Omega \wedge \mathrm{d}x_l \\
& - q^{N-3}\frac{(1-q)^2(1+q)(1+q^{N-2})}{(1-q^{N-1})^2}K^{kl}_{ij}x_k\Omega \wedge \mathrm{d}x_l
\end{aligned}
$$

This equation is resolved for $\hat{R}^{-}{}^{kl}_{ij}\mathrm{d}x_k \wedge \mathrm{d}x_l$; by substituting the resulting expression on the right-hand side of the identity

$$
\hat{R}^{-}{}^{st}_{jk}C^{kl}x_ix_s\mathrm{d}x_t \wedge \mathrm{d}x_l = \big(\hat{R}^{-}{}^{tl}_{sj}C^{ks} + (q-q^{-1})(I^{tl}_{sj} - K^{tl}_{sj})C^{ks}\big)x_ix_s\mathrm{d}x_t \wedge \mathrm{d}x_l,
$$

a new equation is obtained which contains again $\hat{R}^{-}{}^{st}_{jk}C^{kl}x_ix_s\mathrm{d}x_t \wedge \mathrm{d}x_l$ on its right-hand side. Resolving for this term, one finds

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{R}^{-}{}^{st}_{jk}C^{kl}x_ix_s\mathrm{d}x_t \wedge \mathrm{d}x_l = {}& -\frac{1-q^{N-2}-q^{N-1}+q^N}{1-q^{N-1}}x_i\Omega \wedge \mathrm{d}x_j + (1-q)x_ix_j\mathrm{d}\Omega \\
& - \frac{(1-q)(1+q^{N-2})}{1-q^{N-1}}C^{kl}x_ix_jx_k\Omega \wedge \mathrm{d}x_l,
\end{aligned}
$$

which simplifies the original relation to

$$\begin{aligned}0 = \hat{R}^{-}{}^{kl}_{ij}\mathrm{d}x_k \wedge \mathrm{d}x_l + q\mathrm{d}x_i \wedge \mathrm{d}x_j + q\frac{(1-q)(1+q^{N-2})}{1-q^{N-1}}x_i\Omega \wedge \mathrm{d}x_j \\ - q^{-1}\frac{(1-q)(1-q+q^2)(1+q^{N-2})}{1-q^{N-1}}x_ix_j\mathrm{d}\Omega \\ + \frac{(1-q)(1+q^{N-2})}{1-q^{N-1}}\hat{R}^{-}{}^{kl}_{ij}x_k\Omega \wedge \mathrm{d}x_l \\ + q^{N-3}\frac{(1-q)(1+q^3)}{1-q^{N-1}}C_{ij}\mathrm{d}\Omega - \frac{(1-q)^2(1+q^{N-2})^2}{(1-q^{N-1})^2}C^{kl}x_ix_jx_k\Omega \wedge \mathrm{d}x_l \\ + q^{N-2}\frac{(1-q)^2(1+q)(1+q^{N-2})}{(1-q^{N-1})^2}K^{kl}_{ij}x_k\Omega \wedge \mathrm{d}x_l.\end{aligned}$$

We shall denote the right-hand side of this equation, with the $\wedge$ signs replaced by $\otimes_X$ (and thus $\mathrm{d}\Omega$ by $\mathrm{d}^{\otimes}\Omega := C^{ij}\mathrm{d}x_i \otimes_X \mathrm{d}x_j$), by $[\mathbf{R}_{ij}]$.

By factorising the free left S_q^{N-1}-module $\Gamma \otimes_X \Gamma$ generated by $\mathrm{d}x_i \otimes \mathrm{d}x_j$ over the relations $[\mathbf{R}_{ij}] = 0$, a left S_q^{N-1}-module Γ_1^2 is obtained. This is not a bimodule, and therefore still not $\Gamma \wedge \Gamma$, because if this were the case, it would follow from equation (3) and $[\mathbf{R}_{ij}] = 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= [\mathbf{R}_{ij}]x_k = [\mathbf{R}'_{ijk}] \\ &= A_1x_ix_jx_k\mathrm{d}^{\otimes}\Omega + A_2C_{ij}x_k\mathrm{d}^{\otimes}\Omega + A_3C_{jk}x_i\mathrm{d}^{\otimes}\Omega + A_4C_{tk}\hat{R}^{-}{}^{st}_{ij}x_s\mathrm{d}^{\otimes}\Omega \\ &\quad + A_5C^{mn}x_ix_jx_kx_m\Omega \otimes_X \mathrm{d}x_n + A_6C_{ij}C^{mn}x_kx_m\Omega \otimes_X \mathrm{d}x_n \\ &\quad + A_7C_{jk}C^{mn}x_ix_m\Omega \otimes_X \mathrm{d}x_n + A_8C_{tk}\hat{R}^{-}{}^{st}_{ij}C^{mn}x_sx_m\Omega \otimes_X \mathrm{d}x_n,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}A_5 &= -2q^{-3}\frac{\begin{aligned}(1+q^{N-2})^2(1-2q+2q^2-2q^3+2q^4-2q^5+q^6-q^{N-1} \\ +2q^N-2q^{N+1}+q^{N+2}+2q^{N+4}-3q^{N+5}+q^{N+6})\end{aligned}}{(1-q^{N-1})^3}, \\ A_6 &= 2q^{N-5}\frac{\begin{aligned}(1+q^{N-2})(1-2q+2q^3-q^5-q^6+q^7-q^{N-1}+2q^N \\ -3q^{N+2}+q^{N+3}+3q^{N+4}-q^{N+5}-2q^{N+6}+q^{N+7})\end{aligned}}{(1-q^{N-1})^3}, \\ A_7 &= qA_8 = 2q^{N-3}\frac{(1-q)^4(1+q)^2(1+q^{N-2})}{(1-q^{N-1})^2}, \\ \frac{A_5}{A_1} &= \frac{A_6}{A_2} = \frac{A_7}{A_3} = \frac{A_8}{A_4} = T := 2q\frac{1+q^{N-2}}{(1-q)(1-q^{N-1})}.\end{aligned}$$

To make Γ_1^2 into a bimodule, it has to be factorised again over the relation

$$[\mathbf{R}'_{ijk}] = 0. \qquad (16)$$

We observe that there is an $S \neq 0$ such that

$$C^{ij}(A_1x_ix_jx_k + A_2C_{ij}x_k + A_3C_{jk}x_i + A_4C_{tk}\hat{R}^{-}{}^{st}_{ij}x_s) = Sx_k.$$

Because of this fact and the equality

$$[\mathbf{R}'_{ijk}] =$$

$$(A_1 x_i x_j x_k + A_2 C_{ij} x_k + A_3 C_{jk} x_i + A_4 C_{tk} \hat{R}^{-}{}^{st}_{ij} x_s)(\mathrm{d}^{\otimes}\Omega + T C^{mn} x_m \Omega \otimes \mathrm{d}x_n),$$

the relation (16) implies

$$0 = C^{rk} C^{ij} x_r [\mathbf{R}'_{ijk}]$$

and thus

$$\mathrm{d}^{\otimes}\Omega + T C^{mn} x_m \Omega \otimes_X \mathrm{d}x_n = 0. \tag{17}$$

Let Γ^2_2 be the left module obtained by factorising Γ^2_1 over the relation (17).

Since in Γ^2_2 the equalities

$$[\mathbf{R}_{ij}] x_k = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad (\mathrm{d}^{\otimes}\Omega + T C^{mn} x_m \Omega \otimes_X \mathrm{d}x_n) x_k = 0$$

are satisfied, Γ^2_2 is in fact a S^{N-1}_q-bimodule and therefore isomorphic to $\Gamma \wedge \Gamma$.

□

4.3. **Braiding symmetry and antisymmetrisation.** From the simple bimodule structure of Γ_+ w.r.t. the basis $\{\gamma_i\}$ where either $\gamma_i = \gamma_i^+$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$, or $\gamma_i = \gamma_i^-$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$, one easily guesses the ansatz for a covariant "braiding" homomorphism which underlies the following theorem.

Theorem 9. *Let* $X = \mathrm{S}^{N-1}_q$, $\Gamma = \Gamma_+$, *and either* $\gamma_i = \gamma_i^+$ *for* $i = 1, \ldots, N$ *or* $\gamma_i = \gamma_i^-$ *for* $i = 1, \ldots, N$. *For any real number* α, *the equation*

$$\sigma(\gamma_i \otimes_X \gamma_j) = \alpha \hat{R}^{-}{}^{kl}_{ij} \gamma_k \otimes_X \gamma_l$$

continues to a well-defined covariant homomorphism $\sigma : \Gamma \otimes_X \Gamma \to \Gamma \otimes_X \Gamma$ *which satisfies the braid relation.*

Proof: Since all tensor products of differential modules in the following have to be taken over the quantum space S^{N-1}_q, we shall often write $\otimes$ instead of $\otimes_X$ or $\otimes_{\mathrm{S}^{N-1}_q}$ in the following.

First, let $\sigma_{\mathrm{L}} : \Gamma \otimes \Gamma \to \Gamma \otimes \Gamma$ be the left module homomorphism defined by $\sigma_{\mathrm{L}}(\gamma_i \otimes \gamma_j) = \alpha \hat{R}^{-}{}^{kl}_{ij} \gamma_k \otimes \gamma_l$. Because of

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma_{\mathrm{L}}(\gamma_i \otimes \gamma_j \cdot x_k) - \sigma_{\mathrm{L}}(\gamma_i \otimes \gamma_j) \cdot x_k \\
&\qquad = \sigma_{\mathrm{L}}(\hat{R}^{-}{}^{st}_{iu} \hat{R}^{-}{}^{uv}_{jk} x_s \gamma_t \otimes \gamma_v) - \alpha \hat{R}^{-}{}^{uv}_{ij} \gamma_u \otimes \gamma_v \cdot x_k \\
&\qquad = \alpha(\hat{R}^{-}{}^{wp}_{tv} \hat{R}^{-}{}^{st}_{iu} \hat{R}^{-}{}^{uv}_{jk} - \hat{R}^{-}{}^{sw}_{ut} \hat{R}^{-}{}^{tp}_{vk} \hat{R}^{-}{}^{uv}_{ij}) x_s \gamma_w \otimes \gamma_p = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

σ_{L} is also a right module homomorphism, i.e. σ is a well-defined bimodule homomorphism.

Second, with the abbreviations $\sigma_1 := \sigma \otimes_X \mathrm{id}_\Gamma$ and $\sigma_2 := \mathrm{id}_\Gamma \otimes_X \sigma$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 - \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2)(\gamma_i \otimes \gamma_j \otimes \gamma_k) \\
&\qquad = \alpha^3 (\hat{R}^{-}{}^{sw}_{ut} \hat{R}^{-}{}^{tp}_{vk} \hat{R}^{-}{}^{uv}_{ij} - \hat{R}^{-}{}^{wp}_{tv} \hat{R}^{-}{}^{st}_{iu} \hat{R}^{-}{}^{uv}_{jk}) \gamma_s \otimes \gamma_w \otimes \gamma_p = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. the braid relation is fulfilled.

Finally, for the mapping $\Phi_{\mathrm{R}} \otimes \Phi_{\mathrm{R}} : \Gamma \otimes_X \Gamma \to \Gamma \otimes_X \Gamma \otimes \mathrm{O}_q(N)$ (where the multiplication of $\mathrm{O}_q(N)$ makes the second tensor factors of both Φ_{R} images into one element of the quantum group) one has

$$\begin{aligned}(\Phi_{\mathrm{R}} \otimes \Phi_{\mathrm{R}})\sigma(\gamma_i \otimes \gamma_j) &= \alpha \hat{R}^{-}{}^{kl}_{ij} \gamma_s \otimes \gamma_t \otimes u^s_k u^t_l \\ &= \alpha \hat{R}^{-}{}^{st}_{kl} \gamma_s \otimes \gamma_t \otimes u^k_i u^l_j = (\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_\Gamma)(\Phi_{\mathrm{R}} \otimes \Phi_{\mathrm{R}})(\gamma_i \otimes \gamma_j),\end{aligned}$$

which guarantees the covariance of σ. ☐

Remark: Obviously, σ is invertible, with the inverse σ^{-1} defined by

$$\sigma^{-1}(\gamma_i \otimes \gamma_j) = \alpha^{-1} \hat{R}^{kl}_{ij} \gamma_k \otimes \gamma_l.$$

Corollary 10. *The homomorphism σ constructed in Theorem 9 is independent on the choice $\gamma_i = \gamma_i^+$ or $\gamma_i = \gamma_i^-$.*

Proof: Define σ^- and σ_+ via

$$\sigma^-(\gamma_i^- \otimes \gamma_j^-) = \alpha \hat{R}^{kl}_{ij} \gamma_k^- \otimes \gamma_l^-, \quad \sigma^+(\gamma_i^+ \otimes \gamma_j^+) = \alpha \hat{R}^{kl}_{ij} \gamma_k^+ \otimes \gamma_l^+.$$

From Proposition 6 and the bimodule structure of Γ_+ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_i^+ \otimes \gamma_j^+ = \gamma_i^- \otimes \gamma_j^- &- (1+q^{N-2}) C^{kl} x_i x_k \gamma_k^- \otimes \gamma_l^- \\ &- (1+q^{N-2}) C^{vt} \hat{R}^{-}{}^{ts}_{uv} \hat{R}^{-}{}^{ku}_{ij} x_k x_l \gamma_s^- \otimes \gamma_t^- \\ &+ q^2 (1+q^{N-2})^2 C^{kl} C^{st} x_i x_j x_k x_s \gamma_t^- \otimes \gamma_l^- \\ &- (q-q^{-1})(1+q^{N-2}) C^{ul} \hat{R}^{-}{}^{sk}_{ju} x_i x_s \gamma_k^- \otimes \gamma_l^- \\ &- (q^2-1)(1+q^{N-2}) C^{kl} x_i x_j \gamma_k^- \otimes \gamma_l^-.\end{aligned}$$

From this one can calculate $\alpha^{-1}\sigma^-(\gamma_i^+ \otimes \gamma_j^+)$ as well as $\hat{R}^{-}{}^{kl}_{ij} \gamma_k^+ \otimes \gamma_l^+$. One finds that both equal the expression

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{R}^{-}{}^{kl}_{ij} \gamma_k^- \otimes \gamma_l^- - (1+q^{N-2}) C^{su} \hat{R}^{-}{}^{kl}_{uj} x_i x_s \gamma_l^- \otimes \gamma_l^- \\ &- (1+q^{N-2}) C^{kl} \hat{R}^{-}{}^{st}_{ij} x_s x_k \gamma_l^- \otimes \gamma_t^- + q(1+q^{N-2})^2 C^{kl} C^{st} x_i x_j x_k x_s \gamma_t^- \otimes \gamma_l^- \\ &- (q-q^{-1})(1+q^{N-2}) C^{kl} x_i x_k \gamma_l^- \otimes \gamma_j^-\end{aligned}$$

which means that σ^+ and σ^- are identical. ☐

The following corollary specifies the way in which σ can be used to define a higher order differential calculus extending Γ_+ via antisymmetrisation. Note that the expressions [**I**] and [**II**] correspond to the right-hand sides of the relations (14), (15) from Proposition 8

Corollary 11. *Let X, Γ, γ_i, α and σ be as in Theorem 9. If and only if $\alpha = q$, the homomorphism $(\mathrm{id}_{\Gamma\otimes\Gamma} - \sigma)$ annihilates the two expressions* [**I**], [**II**] $\in \Gamma \otimes \Gamma$

defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\mathbf{I}] := {} & \hat{R}^{-kl}_{\ ij}\mathrm{d}x_k \otimes \mathrm{d}x_l + q\mathrm{d}x_i \otimes \mathrm{d}x_j \\
& - q\frac{(1-q)(1+q^{N-2})}{1-q^{N-1}} x_i C^{mn} x_m \mathrm{d}x_n \otimes \mathrm{d}x_j \\
& - \frac{(1-q)(1+q^{N-2})}{1-q^{N-1}} \hat{R}^{-kl}_{\ ij} x_k C^{mn} x_m \mathrm{d}x_n \otimes \mathrm{d}x_l \\
& - \frac{(1+q^2)(1+q^{N-2})^2}{(1-q^{N-1})^2} x_i x_j C^{kl} x_k C^{mn} x_m \mathrm{d}x_n \otimes \mathrm{d}x_l \\
& + q^{N-2}\frac{(1+q)(1+q^2)(1+q^{N-2})}{(1-q^{N-1})^2} K^{kl}_{ij} x_k C^{mn} x_m \mathrm{d}x_n \otimes \mathrm{d}x_l, \\
[\mathbf{II}] := {} & C^{mn}\mathrm{d}x_m \otimes \mathrm{d}x_n + 2q\frac{1+q^{N-2}}{(1-q)(1-q^{N-1})} C^{kl} C^{mn} x_k x_m \mathrm{d}x_n \otimes \mathrm{d}x_l.
\end{aligned}
$$

PROOF: That the parameter α must be chosen as q in order for $[\mathbf{I}]$ and $[\mathbf{II}]$ to be annihilated by $(\mathrm{id} - \sigma)$ is obvious. Let therefore

$$\sigma(\gamma_i \otimes_X \gamma_j) = q\hat{R}^{-kl}_{\ ij}\gamma_k \otimes_X \gamma_l.$$

By calculation, we obtain successively

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}x_i \otimes_X \mathrm{d}x_j = {} & \gamma_i \otimes_X \gamma_j - q\frac{1+q^{N-2}}{1+q} C^{mn} x_i x_m \gamma_n \otimes_X \gamma_j \\
& + q^2\frac{(1-q)(1+q^{N-2})}{1+q} C^{mn} x_i x_j \gamma_m \otimes_X \gamma_n \\
& + q\frac{(1-q)(1+q^{N-2})}{1+q} \hat{R}^{-kl}_{\ jm} C^{mn} x_i x_k \gamma_l \otimes_X \gamma_n \\
& - q\frac{1+q^{N-2}}{1+q} \hat{R}^{-kl}_{\ tm} \hat{R}^{-st}_{\ ij} C^{mn} x_s x_k \gamma_l \otimes_X \gamma_n \\
& + q^4\frac{(1+q^{N-2})^2}{(1+q)^2} C^{mn} C^{st} x_i x_j x_s x_m \gamma_n \otimes_X \gamma_t, \\
[\mathbf{I}] = {} & q\gamma_i \otimes_X \gamma_j + \hat{R}^{-kl}_{\ ij}\gamma_k \otimes_X \gamma_l - q\frac{1+q^{N-2}}{1+q} C^{mn} x_i x_m \gamma_n \otimes_X \gamma_j \\
& + q^{-1}\frac{(1-q)(1+q^2)(1+q^{N-2})}{1-q^{N-1}} C^{mn} x_i x_j \gamma_m \otimes_X \gamma_n \\
& - q^2\frac{1+q^{N-2}}{1+q} \hat{R}^{-kl}_{\ jm} C^{mn} x_i x_k \gamma_l \otimes_X \gamma_n \\
& - \frac{1+q^{N-2}}{1+q} \hat{R}^{-kl}_{\ ij} C^{mn} x_k x_m \gamma_n \otimes_X \gamma_l \\
& - q\frac{1+q^{N-2}}{1+q} \hat{R}^{-kl}_{\ tm} \hat{R}^{-st}_{\ ij} C^{mn} x_s x_k \gamma_l \otimes_X \gamma_n \\
& - q^{N-3}\frac{(1-q)(1+q^3+q^N)}{1-q^{N-1}} K^{kl}_{ij} \gamma_k \otimes_X \gamma_l
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \frac{(1-q)(1+q^2(1+q^{N-2})^2}{(1+q)(1-q^{N-1})} C^{mn} C^{st} x_i x_j x_s x_m \gamma_n \otimes_X \gamma_t \\
&+ q^{N-2} \frac{(1-q+2q^2-q^3-q^{N+1})(1+q^{N-2})}{1-q^{N-1}} K^{kl}_{ij} C^{mn} x_k x_m \gamma_n \otimes_X \gamma_l,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C^{ij} \mathrm{d}x_i \otimes_X \mathrm{d}x_j &= \frac{2-q^{N-1}+q^N}{1+q} C^{ij} \gamma_i \otimes_X \gamma_j \\
&- q \frac{(1+q^{N-2})(1+q^N)}{(1+q)^2} C^{ij} C^{mn} x_i x_m \gamma_n \otimes_X \gamma_j, \\
[\mathbf{II}] &= -q^{N-1} C^{ij} \gamma_i \otimes_X \gamma_j + q \frac{(1+q^{N-2})(1-q^N)}{1-q^2} C^{ij} C^{mn} x_i x_m \gamma_n \otimes_X \gamma_j,
\end{aligned}
$$

and finally

$$
(\mathrm{id}_{\Gamma\otimes\Gamma} - \sigma)[\mathbf{I}] = 0, \qquad (\mathrm{id}_{\Gamma\otimes\Gamma} - \sigma)[\mathbf{II}] = 0.
$$

□

It follows that a higher order differential calculus extending Γ_+ is obtained by factorising $\Gamma^{\otimes}$ over the ideal generated by the kernel of $(\mathrm{id}_{\Gamma\otimes\Gamma} - \sigma)$ if and only if $\alpha = q$ which will be assumed from now on.

Let $\Gamma^{\wedge}_{\sigma}$ be this higher order differential calculus. Although $\Gamma^{\wedge}_{\sigma}$ is smaller than $\Gamma^{\wedge}_{\mathrm{u}}$, it still contains nonvanishing differential forms of arbitrarily high order, e.g. $\mathrm{d}\Omega \wedge \mathrm{d}\Omega \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}\Omega$.

In fact, $(\mathrm{id} - \sigma)$ cancels the component from $\Gamma \otimes \Gamma$ belonging to projector P_+ but leaves the P_0 component intact. To complete antisymmetrisation, the latter has to be zeroed by an additional factorisation. Obviously, factorisation does not destroy the property of being a higher order differential calculus.

Corollary 12. *Let the higher order differential calculus $\Gamma^{\wedge}_{\sigma 0}$ be given by factorisation of $\Gamma^{\wedge}_{\sigma}$ over the additional relation $\mathrm{d}\Omega = 0$. Then $\Gamma^{\wedge}_{\sigma 0}$ is generated as a left X-module by the set of all differential forms $\gamma_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma_{i_s}$ where $0 \le s \le N$ and $1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_s \le N$.*

Proof: By virtue of the bimodule structure of Γ, any differential form in $\Gamma^{\wedge}_{\sigma 0}$ can be written as a sum of products $x\gamma_{i_1} \wedge \gamma_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma_{i_s}$ with $x \in X$, $s \ge 0$ and $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_s \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$. Moreover, from $\mathrm{d}\Omega = 0$ it follows that

$$
C^{ij} \gamma_i \wedge \gamma_j = 0, \qquad C^{ij} C^{kl} x_i x_k \gamma_l \wedge \gamma_j = 0.
$$

Using

$$
(\mathrm{id}_{\Gamma\otimes\Gamma} - \sigma)(\hat{R}^{-kl}_{\ ij} \gamma_k \otimes \gamma_l + q \gamma_i \otimes \gamma_j) = -q^{N-1}(q^2-1) K^{kl}_{ij} \gamma_k \otimes \gamma_l
$$

we obtain therefore

$$
\hat{R}^{-kl}_{\ ij} \gamma_k \wedge \gamma_l + q \gamma_i \wedge \gamma_j = 0. \tag{18}
$$

This commutation relation for the γ_is allows to replace any product $\gamma_i \wedge \gamma_j$, $i > j$ with a sum of products $\gamma_k \wedge \gamma_l$ with $k < l$ and $k + l = i + j$. Furthermore, the relation implies that the product $\gamma_i \wedge \gamma_i$ is zero if $2i \ne N + 1$ while for

$2i = N+1$ it can be replaced by a sum of products $\gamma_k \wedge \gamma_l$ with $k < l$ and again $k + l = i + j$.

Assume now we are given the product $\gamma_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma_{i_r} \wedge \gamma_{i_{r+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma_{i_s}$ where $i_r \geq i_{r+1}$ holds for some r. Applying (18) as described before at positions r and $r+1$ we transform the given product either to zero or to a sum of new products $\gamma_{i'_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma_{i'_r} \wedge \gamma_{i'_{r+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma_{i'_s}$ where $i_k = i'_k$ for all $k \neq r, r+1$ such that $i'_1 + i'_2 + \cdots + i'_k = i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_k$ whenever $k \neq r$ while $i'_1 + i'_2 + \cdots + i'_r \leq i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_r - 1$. Since in each resulting product in each step one of the index sums $i'_1 + i'_2 + \cdots + i'_k$ is by at least one smaller than the corresponding sum in its predecessor, and since none of the index sums can become negative, the procedure terminates after a finite number of steps, yielding only products with $i_r < i_{r+1}$ for all r. This completes the proof. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I want to thank Dr. István Heckenberger for many helpful discussions.

Part of the work included in this paper was supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft within the programme of Graduiertenkolleg Quantenfeldtheorie, Leipzig.

REFERENCES

[1] Apel, J.; Schmüdgen, K.: Classification of Three Dimensional Covariant Differential Calculi on Podleś' Quantum Spheres and on Related Spaces.—Lett. Math. Phys. **32** (1994), 25–36.

[2] Aschieri, P.; Castellani, L.: An introduction to noncommutative differential geometry on quantum groups.—Intern. J. Mod. Phys. A **8** (1993), 1667–1706.

[3] Barut, A. O.; Rączka, R.: Theory of group representations and applications.—PWN, Warszawa 1977.

[4] Hayashi, T.: Quantum deformations of classical groups.—Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. **28** (1992), 57–81.

[5] Heckenberger, I.; Schmüdgen, K.: Levi-Civita Connections on the Quantum Groups $\mathrm{SL}_q(N)$, $\mathrm{O}_q(N)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}_q(N)$.—Commun. Math. Phys. **185** (1997), 177–196.

[6] Hermisson, U.: Construction of Covariant Differential Calculi on Quantum Homogeneous Spaces.—Lett. Math. Phys. **46** (1998), 313–322; math.QA/9806008.

[7] Jurčo, B.: Differential calculus on quantized simple Lie groups.—Lett. Math. Phys. **22** (1991), 177–186.

[8] Klimyk, A. U.; Schmüdgen, K.: Quantum Groups and Their Representations.—Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer, Berlin 1997.

[9] Reshetikhin, N. Yu.; Takhtajan, L. A.; Faddeev, L. D.: Kvantovanie grupp Li i algebr Li.—Algebra i analiz **1** (1987), 178–206. (Engl. transl.: Leningrad J. Math. **1** (1990), 193–225.)

[10] Schmüdgen, K.: On the Construction of Covariant Differential Calculi on Quantum Homogeneous Spaces.—Preprint, math.QA/9804143.

[11] Schmüdgen, K.; Schüler, A.: Classification of Bicovariant Differential Calculi on Quantum Groups of Type A, B, C and D.—Commun. Math. Phys. **167** (1995), 635–670.

[12] Schmüdgen, K.; Schüler, A.: Classification of bicovariant differential calculi on quantum groups.—Commun. Math. Phys. **170**, 315–335.

[13] Schüler, A.: Differential Hopf Algebras on Quantum Groups of Type A.—Preprint, math.QA/9805139.

[14] Vaksman, L. L.; Soibelman, Ja. S.: Algebra funktsiy na kvantovoy gruppe SU$(n+1)$ i nechetnomernĭe kvantovĭe sferĭ.—Algebra i analiz **2** n. 5 (1990), 101–120. (Engl. transl.: Leningrad J. Math. **2** (1991), 1023–1042.)

[15] Welk, M.: Differential Calculus on Quantum Spheres.—Preprint, math.QA/9802087.

[16] Welk, M.: Covariant Differential Calculus on Quantum Spheres of Odd Dimension.—Czechoslovak Journal of Physics, **48** (1998), No. 11, 1507–1514. (Presented at the 7th Colloquium “Quantum Groups and Integrable Systems”, Prague, 18–20 June 1998.)

[17] Welk, M.: Covariant first order differential calculus on quantum projective spaces.—Preprint, math.QA/9908069.

[18] Welk, M.: Covariant Differential Calculus on Quantum Projective Spaces.—Czechoslovak Journal of Physics, **50** (2000), No. 1, 219–224. (Presented at the 8th Colloquium “Quantum Groups and Integrable Systems”, Prague, 17–19 June 1999.)

[19] Woronowicz, S. L.: Differential Calculus on Quantum Matrix Pseudogroups (Quantum Groups).—Commun. Math. Phys. **122** (1989), 125–170.

MARTIN WELK, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LEIPZIG, AUGUSTUSPLATZ 10, 04109 LEIPZIG, GERMANY — DR.WELK@GMX.NET